Main operation procedures for ASTRID gas power conversion system
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Abstract. Until the end of the first part of the basic design phase (2017), the ASTRID project has made the choice of studying a power conversion system (PCS) based on a Brayton cycle with nitrogen as coolant. The justification is related to safety and public acceptance considerations in order to inherently eliminate the sodium-water and sodium-water-air reactions risks. The objective of the engaged studies is to enhance the level of maturity of the gas PCS. The choice of two PCS of 300 MWe each has been made in order to limit the gas inventory, the size and length of gas pipes as well as maintaining a high level of availability.

This paper presents specific operating procedures as start-up and normal shutdown of the plant, scram, rapid shutdowns, gas inventory control system, house load and grid frequency control. The current procedures of the plant and the expected control are presented. A focus will be made on the nitrogen inventory control which takes part of the electric power regulation provided to the grid.

Finally some perspectives of remaining operation studies to be performed until the end of 2017 will be presented. Indeed the level of maturity of the gas PCS must be at a similar level compared to the classic water-steam Rankine cycle in order to make the best choice for the future of the sodium fast reactors in terms of better cost-effectiveness and reliability through optimization of the Brayton cycle technology and operations.
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1. Introduction

ASTRID will be an integrated technology prototype designed for industrial-scale demonstration of 4th generation Sodium-cooled Fast Reactor (SFR) safety and operation aiming at improving safety, operability and robustness levels against external hazards compared with previous SFRs.

The pre-conceptual design phase – AVP1 conducted from mid-2010 to the end of 2012 – has been focused on innovation and technological breakthroughs, while maintaining risk at an acceptable level. This phase was followed by the AVP2 conceptual design phase planned until
the end of 2015 whose objectives were to focus on the design in order to finalize a coherent reactor outline and to finalize by December 2015 the Safety Option Report. The ASTRID conceptual design is based on a sodium-cooled pool reactor of 1500 MWth with an intermediate circuit in sodium generating about 600 MWe. Two Power Conversion Systems (PCS) were studied in parallel during the AVP2 conceptual design phase: a Rankine steam cycle and a Brayton gas cycle.

The closed Brayton gas PCS option has been considered as the likely choice for High Temperature Reactors (HTR), as it provides at 800°C temperature range better cycle net efficiency than the best Rankine cycle. Application of Nitrogen closed Brayton cycle for a sodium cooled fast reactor in the 500°C temperature range is mainly justified for safety and acceptance considerations by inherently eliminating the sodium-water reaction risk existing in a Rankine cycle.

During the ASTRID AVP2 phase from 2013 to 2015, a strong R&D effort was focused on the gas PCS in order to increase its maturity level in order to bring it at the end of 2017 to a similar level compared to the Rankine cycle, facilitated by a well-documented comparison between the two systems [3].

The 2016-2017 phase, in which the gas PCS is integrated in the overall layout of the reactor, will allow to better specify the technical and economic assessments of the gas PCS.

This paper discusses operation studies of the gas Power Conversion System coupled with the Astrid nuclear island. This includes the regulation principle, normal start-up and shutdowns, the safety shutdown called SCRAM and the rapid shutdown, the house load and an innovative procedure for the frequency load regulation. A focus will be made on the gas inventory system to master the changes of the output power.

2. Brayton cycle description:

At the end of the AVP2 Phase (2013-2015), the reference cycle for the ASTRID Power Conversion System is closed Brayton cycle in pure nitrogen at 180 bar (FIG 1). The plant is composed with 2 GTA (group turbine alternator) with a thermal power of 750 MWth each. The turbine and the compressors are placed on the same shaft line as the turbogenerator.

Aiming at optimizing the cycle, the gas is cooled before the high pressure compressor inlet to limit the compression work and an economizer allows raising the temperature of the gas returning to the Sodium Gas Heat Exchangers (SGHE) with heat extracted from turbine outlet gas. The reference solution for the heat sink is a wet cooling tower. The closed cooling water system provides the cooling medium for the pre-coolers and coolers.

The main boundary conditions for the thermodynamic gas cycle calculations are the following:

- Thermal power delivered to the gas cycle (2 GTA): 1502 MWth
- Sodium gas heat exchanger outlet temperature: 515°C
- Sodium gas heat exchanger outlet pressure: 180 bar
- Sodium gas heat exchanger inlet temperature: 310°C
- Cooler outlet temperature: 27°C

The expected gross efficiency at the end of the AVP2 phase (2013-2015) is around 37.4 %, not taking into account auxiliary power [4].
3. Control features:

3.1. The overall control

The control of the gas PCS is designed to regulate the main operating parameters of the cycle, while limiting the use of the bypasses on the gas PCS (FIG.2), namely:

- Control the sodium temperature at the outlet of the SGHE (SGHE by-pass);
- Rapidly varying the electrical power and then set it around a set value (by-pass 1);
- Control the speed of the turbomachine at its nominal value due to power changes (turbine by-pass).

During power operation, the gas flow cannot be adjusted by varying the turbomachinery rotation speed set by the frequency of the network (3000 rpm); It is adjusted by a nitrogen inventory management system for each GTA, allowing the scheduled power variations (§ 6).
4. CATHARE code description:

All results provided hereunder in this paper are issued from the V2.5 CATHARE system code. This version is the outcome of more than 30 years of joint development effort by CEA (French Atomic Energy Commission), EDF (Electricity of France), AREVA and IRSN (Radio-protection and Nuclear Safety Institute).

CATHARE is a system code originally devoted to best estimate calculations of thermal hydraulic transients in water-cooled reactors such as PWR, VVER or BWR.

The two-fluid model with non-condensable gases transport equations, with light water as the main fluid, was extended to GCR, SCWR, (IAPWS tables) SFR (with Na sodium as main fluid) and for non-nuclear industrial applications such as cryogenic rocket engines.

CATHARE code is now a multi-purpose multi-reactor concept system code. It can be easily extended to other applications:

- The numerical solver is reliable, efficient and generic
- The existing tools for pre-processing and post-processing can be used for all applications,
- Basic modeling features, like circuits with heat exchangers, various hydraulic elements, valves, walls, already exist, are well consolidated, and can be re-utilized.

The code is used for research, safety and design purposes in France and is released abroad in about 30 foreign institutes. The applications are mainly for:

- Plant system and component designs,
- The definition and verification of emergency operating procedures,
- Investigations for new types of core management, new reactors and systems designs,
- The preparation and interpretation of experimental programs,
- For safety analysis, a methodology has been developed in order to evaluate uncertainties of the code calculations.

5. Normal start-up and shut down:

The main functional specifications and procedures for the start-up and shutdown phases of ASTRID plant with a gas PCS have been established in order to preserve the kinetics and the control capacities similar to those obtained with a steam Rankine PCS. The procedures can be carried out either on 1 or simultaneously 2 GTAs and unlike the full power operation connected to the grid, the gas flow can be adjusted by varying the speed of rotation of the turbomachine.

The main phases of a start-up from the cold state (200 °C) are as follows (FIG. 3):

- preheating, divergence and beginning of isothermal rise of temperature,
- starting of the turbomachine and preheating the gas PCS,
- during the isothermal rise, increase of the gas flow rate by increasing the rotation speed of the turbomachine (with successive steps from 1000 rpm and then 1500 rpm).
- coupling to the network when the speed of the turbomachine reaches 3000 rpm,
- increase the power up to 100% Pnom. (nominal power) and simultaneously increasing the nitrogen inventory in the PCS. The kinetic is adjusted to limit the thermal solicitations of the primary circuit structures.
For the normal shutdown, the different phases are as follows:

- Power reduction from 100% Nominal Power (NP) to 20% NP according to kinetics faster than start-up and simultaneously reduction of gas flow by reduction of nitrogen inventory,
- Rapid shutdown (motorized drop of control rods), decoupling to the network and switch the turbomachine in motor mode (inversed supplied of the alternator),
- Decreasing the gas flow by reducing the speed of the turbomachine and reducing the PCS nitrogen inventory to control the primary circuit temperature as a function of the residual power. Continuing cooling to the cold state.

6. Nitrogen inventory gas control:

In order to manage the start-up, the shutdown and other specific sequences, the quantity of nitrogen contained in the Brayton cycle (130 tons), so called gas inventory, can be withdrawn from the high pressure level of Brayton cycle or injected to the low pressure level of Brayton cycle thanks to the Gas Inventory Management System (GIMS) (FIG 4).
During the first phase of the start-up or shutdown sequences, nitrogen is transferred by direct pressure letdown and when pressure is at equilibrium, the transfer is finalized with gas compressors (FIG. 5). The GIMS is composed with 6 large gas storage vessels (600 m$^3$ under 50 bar each, implemented on the ground floor of the Brayton cycle building, below the Brayton cycle hall) and a set of gas compressors (implemented in a dedicated building).

In addition, a liquid nitrogen storage unit, and a set of nitrogen cylinders under 200 bar, allow to perform first, the filling and the continuous make-up (to compensate leakage) of the gas inventory.

In a next phase of studies the possibility to use the GIMS to control permanently the pressure of Brayton Cycle by nitrogen withdrawal and/or re-injection will be examined.

7. The SCRAM and rapid shutdowns procedures:

In case of unscheduled shutdown of the reactor, the safety procedure of SCRAM (gravity falling of control rods for safety purpose) or the non-safety rapid shutdown (motorized insertion of the control rod mainly due to initiating event from secondary or tertiary circuit) are triggered.

Both procedures exhibit similar kinetics of power transfer to the gas PCS; For the SCRAM procedure (FIG 6):

- on SCRAM signal, opening of bypass 1 in order to gradually reduce the electrical power produced by the PCS before disconnection from the electricity network,
- in the short term (about 75 s), maintaining the rotation speed of the turbomachine at its nominal speed as long as the alternator of the GTA remains in a power production mode (3000 rpm and positive power balance on the shaft); During this phase, the opening of the bypass 1 allows a first reduction of the gas flow in the SGHEs, thus anticipating the reduction of the thermal power coming from the primary circuit due to the stopping of the nuclear power,
- as soon as the alternator goes into engine mode, the speed of rotation of the turbomachine is slowed down to a folding speed (around 1000 rpm) to minimize as much as possible the

**FIG 5 : Pressures of the cycle and the storage vessels during shutdown and start-up sequences**
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power consumed in engine mode (~ 1 MW); The duration of this ramp is around 200 s (comparable to that applied simultaneously to the secondary flow), then keeping of the turbomachine at this speed rate with by the generator in motor mode.

\[\text{FIG. 6: Evolution of the shaft speed and flowrate (normalized by its nominal value) during a SCRAM.} \]

The control of the sodium temperature at the outlet of the SGHEs (FIG. 7), ensured by the bypass of the heat exchanger allows to reach the setpoint temperature corresponding to the hot shutdown condition (320 °C) at the end of the procedure.

In parallel with this control, the inventory reduction is carried out so as to adapt the gas flow to the level of the residual power to be removed, which also leads to further reduction of the power required for the rotation of the turbomachine.

In case of a failure leading to the loss of one GTA, the rapid shutdown procedure can also be performed on the remaining GTA.

\[\text{FIG 7 : Evolution of inlet and outlet SGHE temperature during a SCRAM.} \]
8. **House load procedure:**

The house load transient is triggered just after a confirmed loss of electrical supplies which necessitates to quickly reduce the plant electrical output power in order to match with the level of power required by the auxiliaries (≈ 7% nominal output electric power) to maintain the whole plant in operation. This must be done under the required conditions of frequency and voltage to avoid risk of failure followed by a shutdown of the plant. A longer restart procedure is then necessary to return to power operation which may be not compatible with the safety of the external grid.

The adjustment of the plant electrical output power must therefore be carried out within a few seconds following the loss of the network, which is incompatible with an action from the gas inventory system (this can be done more easily with a water-steam PCS by reduction in water flow). The large decrease of electrical production is thus first achieved by opening the by-pass 1, which severely degrades the efficiency of the cycle (anyway this house load state is only lasting few hours). At the same time, the neutron power is also reduced (at ≈ 80% NP power) with an automatic decrease of reactor power as to that used for the water-steam PCS.

In the very short term, the disconnection of the external grid induces an initial overspeed of the turbomachine which must be limited by acting on the turbine bypass valve. The simulations validate the following principle of regulation (*FIG. 8*):

- the initial overspeed may be limited to about +4% considering a rapid opening of the turbine bypass valve which allows a 90% bypass of the nominal flow rate in about 1 s,
- opening of the turbine bypass allows a rapid reduction of the generated electrical power which falls to ≈ 20% of the nominal output electric power in a few seconds. Its stabilization at 7% is achieved by means of the bypass 1 which reaches its maximum opening after 100 s to allow approximately 40% of the nominal flow to pass through.

The feasibility of valves to achieve the above required level of performance is under study.

*FIG 8: Shaft speed and gas flowrate (normalized by its nominal value) during a house load procedure. ASTRID Project Business Confidential Information, CEA, AREVA property designs*
In the longer term, the neutron power is gradually reduced to ~ 50% NP, as well as the gas inventory, which makes it possible to optimize the operating point by limiting the amplitude of the bypass flows.

During these different phases, the control allows to maintain the temperature at the output of the SGHEs at its nominal value (FIG. 9).

![FIG. 9: Evolution of inlet and outlet temperature of the SGHE during a house load operation.](image)

**9. Grid frequency following:**

Within the framework of the French legislation, and because of its importance for the network safety, it is required for production facilities with a power of more than 40 MWe to participate to the primary and secondary frequency settings. This leads to keep a reserve of electrical power to be provided to the grid on demand coming from the French network management company (RTE). The envelope scenario for the reserve design is the cumulative request for primary and secondary frequency control which corresponds to a power call of + 7% with a ramp of 5% Pnom/min. for a minimum of 1/4h duration permanent level. For the water-steam PCS, this requirement is satisfied with a specific control on core power and on the secondary loop [5].

For the gas PCS the inertia of the tertiary circuit could not allow this regulation, therefore a very innovative control scheme has been developed. This control scheme is still under a pending patent process.

**10. Conclusions**

Since the beginning of the ASTRID pre-conceptual phase, significant studies on technology and dynamic simulation of a gas PCS for ASTRID project have been performed in order to reach a maturity as close as possible to one of the water-steam PCS by the end of 2017. The following operating sequences and dedicated control have been computed with CATHARE code and presented in this paper: start-up, normal shutdown, SCRAM and rapid shutdowns, gas inventory control, house load, grid frequency control. None of these sequences have encountered unfeasibility or critical issue for the deployment of such a gas cycle for a SFR
which has the big advantage to not address anymore the problematic sodium-water reaction risk.

Until the end of 2017 (when decision of retaining the cycle option) the program includes finalization of OC2 (operation category 2) to OC4 transients: long term loss of grid, loss of cold source, gas pipe breaks, changes of Na flow rate in a single secondary loop, changes of N₂ flow rate for several events in the tertiary circuit, feasibility of using the gas cycle for long term residual power removal, impact on the primary convection startup, spurious triggering of by-passes, cogeneration…

Despite the fact that the gas cycle is innovative we must anticipate the potential risk of technology and operations failure for such a cycle in order to evaluate the impact on the number of incidental events that would lead to a shutdown. Indeed, this is of importance for the lifetime that will be allowed for ASTRID and according to the steam-water cycle feedback experience on SFR operations, a lot of such events come from the tertiary circuit, therefore the reliability of this new Brayton gas cycle must be at least at the same level that the Rankine cycle reliability.
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